

IAHPEDS 2026 World Congress

Prof. Dr. Kamil Özer Memorial

Scientific Paper Awards Guidelines

1. Purpose

The purpose of this guideline is to enhance the quality of scientific studies presented within the scope of the IAHPEDS 2026 World Congress, to encourage researchers, support scientific productivity, and reward the most qualified scientific presentations at national and international levels in memory of Prof. Dr. Kamil Özer.

2. Scope

This guideline covers the evaluation of scientific papers presented orally at the congress, determination of award categories, evaluation processes, and principles for award distribution. Online presentations and poster presentations are not included in the award evaluation process.

3. Application Requirement

All oral presentations submitted to the congress are not automatically included in the award evaluation process. Participants who wish to be considered for the awards must apply for candidacy through the relevant system during the congress registration process. Only presentations that have applied and been approved will be evaluated by the award selection committees. Presentations without application will not be included in the award process.

4. Award Categories

Awards will be given in two main categories: (1) English presentations and (2) Turkish presentations. In both categories, first, second, and third place awards will be presented.

5. Award Amount and Distribution

The total award budget is 3,000 USD. For the English presentation category:

- 1st place: 1,000 USD,
- 2nd place: 600 USD,
- 3rd place: 400 USD.

For the Turkish presentation category:

- 1st place: 500 USD,
- 2nd place: 300 USD,
- 3rd place: 200 USD.

6. Evaluation Process

The evaluation process consists of two stages. In the first stage, submissions are evaluated by the scientific committee and those suitable for oral presentation are determined. In the second stage, presentations are evaluated by the award selection committees considering both scientific content and presentation performance.

7. Evaluation Criteria

Presentations are evaluated based on scientific originality, methodological adequacy, contribution to the literature, consistency of findings, and presentation performance.

8. Award Selection Committees

The evaluation process is conducted by Turkish and English Award Selection Committees consisting of expert academics. Each presentation is evaluated independently by at least two reviewers, and the final score is determined by averaging the scores.

9. Special Provisions

Presenters who do not deliver their presentation are not eligible for evaluation. Jury members cannot evaluate submissions with conflicts of interest. In case of equal scores, the presentation with higher scientific content score is prioritized. The jury reserves the right not to grant awards in any category if deemed necessary.

10. Award Selection Committees Members

Turkish Award Committee:

Dr. Hayri Ertan
Dr. Adil Deniz Duru
Dr. Dilara Sevimay Özer
Dr. İlker Yücesir
Dr. Leyla Tavacıoğlu
Dr. Nusret Ramazanoğlu

English Award Committee:

Dr. Steven Wright
Dr. Courtney Flowers
Dr. Emily Dow
Dr. Fran Meyer
Dr. Gregory Soukup
Dr. Holly Alperin
Dr. Naoki Suzuki
Dr. Olanrewaju Adeola Ipinmoroti
Dr. Shawn Cradit
Dr. Walter Ho

Dr. Xiaolu Liu
Dr. Xiaofen Hamilton